

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,203	10/20/2003	Kenneth E. Kadziauskas	3109	· 3684
26822 WALTER A. H	7590 11/14/200 IACKLER	·	EXAMINER	
2372 S.E. BRIS	STOL, SUITE B		MENDEZ, MANUEL A	
NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660-0755			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			11/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		<i>l</i> * 1			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/690,203	KADZIAUSKAS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Manuel Mendez	3763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a not will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	November 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-36 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-36 are subject to restriction and/	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers	,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  nts have been received in a cority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Reconsideration Request

The examiner of record has reviewed the request for reconsideration of the Official Action dated August 25, 2006. Since the Preliminary Amendment filed on November 4, 2005 cancelled claims 1-11, the Double patenting rejection of the cited Office Action is considered improper and is hereby vacated. Additionally, upon further review of pending claims 12-36, it is also found that a restriction requirement is necessary in view of the various related distinct inventions disclosed in the pending claims.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 12, 13, and 31, drawn to a system and method configured to establish a correlation between a fluid flow through the eye, the phaco power and heat generation in the eye without measuring fluid temperatures, classified in class 604, subclass 67.
- II. Claims 14-18, and 36, drawn to a system configured to modulate at least one of a phaco power level, a phaco duty cycle, and the fluid flow through the eye, the modulation being based upon the energy balance, classified in class 604, subclass 298.
- III. Claims 19-27, 32, and 33, drawn to a matrix comprising phaco power levels and fluid flow conditions that will not generate sufficient heat

to create damage to the eye tissue within the eye, classified in class 604, subclass 294.

- IV. Claims 28, 29, 34, and 35, drawn to a microprocessor configured to utilize a mathematical algorithm for determining an energy balance within the eye, and the microprocessor configured to determine whether to continue with existing power settings or switch to modified power settings, classified in class 604, subclass 289.
- V. Claims 30, drawn to a look up table for determining an energy balance within the eye, classified in class 604, subclass 65.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II, III, IV and V are directed to <u>distinct related inventions</u>. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed comprise of manual and microprocessor-controlled systems having materially different modes of operations, which do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Art Unit: 3763

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manuel Mendez whose telephone number is 571-272-4962. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1800 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Nicholas D. Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 5771-272-1000

Primary Examine

Art Unit 3763

MM